



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
BOX INTERFERENCE
Washington, D.C. 20231

MAILED

Paper No. 20

AUG 26 1998

**PAT.&T.M.OFFICE
BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES**

Patentee(s): Garner et al.
Application: 08/206,176
Filed: 3 March 1994
Patent No.: 5,639,940
Issued: 17 June 1997

Notice of Interference

The captioned patent is involved in interference number 104,242. The attached appendix provides the details of the interference.

35 U.S.C. § 135(c) Notice

Any agreement or understanding between parties to an interference, including any collateral agreements referred to therein, made in connection with or in contemplation of the termination of the interference, shall be in writing and a true copy thereof filed in the Patent and Trademark Office before the termination of the interference as between the said parties to the agreement or understanding. If any party filing the same so requests, the copy shall be kept separate from the file of the interference, and made available only to Government agencies on written request, or to any person on a showing of good cause. Failure to file the copy of such agreement or understanding shall render permanently unenforceable such agreement or understanding and any patent of such parties involved in the interference or any patent subsequently issued on any application of such parties so involved. The Commissioner may, however, on a showing of good cause for failure to file within the time prescribed, permit the filing of the agreement or understanding during the six month period subsequent to the termination of the interference as between the parties to the agreement or understanding.

RICHARD TORCZON
Administrative Patent Judge

Attachment: Appendix I

**INTERFERENCE
DIGEST**

9/26/98

Interference No. 104,242 Paper No. 21

Name, Ian Garner et al.

Serial No. 08/206,176 Patent No. 5,639,940, issued 06/17/97

Title, PRODUCTION OF FIBRINOGEN IN TRANSGENIC ANIMALS

Filed, 03/03/94

Interference with William H. Velandar et al.

DECISION ON MOTIONS

Examiner-in-Chief, _____ Dated, _____

FINAL DECISION

Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, _____ Dated, _____

Court, _____ Dated, _____

REMARKS
